The Examined Self: Consciousness, Narrative Identity, and the Psychology of Meaning-Making

Dr. Amara D. Kline[1] & Prof. Lucien Moreau[2]

[1]*Centre for Narrative Psychology, University of Edinburgh*

[2]*Department of Continental Philosophy, Sorbonne Nouvelle University, Paris*

Abstract

This article investigates the philosophical and psychological dimensions of the self as a narrative construction, examining how human beings constitute personal identity through the stories they tell about their lives. Drawing on Continental philosophy—particularly the hermeneutical phenomenology of Paul Ricoeur—and integrating findings from narrative psychology, cognitive science, and the psychology of meaning-making, the paper argues that the self is neither a fixed metaphysical substance nor a mere bundle of experiences, but rather an ongoing interpretive achievement. The concept of narrative identity—idem identity (sameness) versus ipse identity (selfhood)—is explored in depth, and its implications for psychological resilience, clinical practice, and existential well-being are assessed. Additionally, the paper synthesises evidence from empirical studies concerning autobiographical memory, temporal self-continuity, and the role of narrative coherence in mental health outcomes. A comparative table of major theoretical frameworks is provided to orient readers. The article concludes by arguing that Socrates' injunction to 'know thyself' must today be read not as an invitation to discover a pre-given essence, but as a call to the ongoing, creative, and ethically engaged activity of self-narration.

Keywords: *narrative identity, self-concept, meaning-making, Ricoeur, phenomenology, autobiographical memory, psychological coherence, existential psychology*

Received: 06 Jan 2026   **Accepted:** 19 Feb 2026   **Publication:** 19 Mar 2026

1. Introduction

Few questions have proven as enduring, as vexed, and as personally urgent as those concerning the self. From the Delphic maxim 'know thyself' to Descartes' cogito, from Hume's bundle theory to the neuroscientific dissolution of the unified subject, philosophy has wrestled ceaselessly with what it means to be a self—and whether, in any robust sense, such a thing exists at all. Psychology,

for its part, has transformed the self into an object of empirical inquiry, measuring self-esteem, tracking self-concept clarity, and delineating the pathologies of a self under siege. Yet despite decades of productive inquiry from both disciplines, a persistent tension remains: philosophy often treats the self as a problem of metaphysical ontology, while psychology tends to treat it as a functional entity susceptible to measurement and modification.

This article proposes that the most productive way to bridge this divide is through the concept of narrative identity—the idea that personal identity is constituted through the stories individuals construct and inhabit about who they are, where they have come from, and where they are going. Far from being a merely literary metaphor, narrative identity offers a philosophically rigorous and psychologically fruitful account of selfhood that integrates temporal extension, moral responsibility, social embeddedness, and the inevitability of interpretation. It takes seriously both the phenomenological character of first-person experience and the empirical findings of cognitive and developmental psychology.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 provides a review of the literature (ROL), mapping the intellectual terrain from classical conceptions of the self through contemporary narrative psychology. Section 3 develops the philosophical framework, centring on Paul Ricoeur's distinction between idem and ipse identity. Section 4 examines the psychological dimensions of narrative selfhood, including autobiographical memory and meaning-making. Section 5 presents a comparative framework of major theoretical positions in tabular form. Section 6 considers implications for clinical psychology and therapeutic practice. Section 7 discusses limitations and directions for future research. Section 8 offers a conclusion.

2. Review of the Literature

2.1 Classical and Modern Conceptions of the Self

The philosophical investigation of selfhood is as old as philosophy itself. For Plato, the self was essentially identified with the rational soul—a divine, immortal entity whose true home lay beyond the transient world of appearances (Plato, 380 BCE/2003). Aristotle complicated this picture by grounding the soul more firmly in the body, conceiving the self as the form of a living organism, essentially enmeshed in a life of activity and virtue (Aristotle, 350 BCE/1998). These ancient debates established a polarity—between the self as transcendent and the self as embodied—that has never fully been resolved.

With Descartes (1641/1996), the modern era of selfhood begins. His famous cogito—'I think, therefore I am'—inaugurated an epoch in which certainty about the self became the foundational bedrock upon which all other knowledge was to be built. Yet Descartes' self is radically thin: a thinking substance defined entirely by its capacity for cognition, severed from the body and from time. Hume (1739/2000) mounted perhaps the most devastating critique of this Cartesian self,

arguing that when he looked inward, he never caught 'the self' itself, only a bundle of perceptions. The self, on Hume's account, is not a substance but a fiction—a useful convention generated by the association of ideas and the memory of past experiences.

Kant (1781/1998) responded to Hume by arguing that the unity of apperception—the formal 'I think' that must be able to accompany all my representations—is a transcendental condition of experience, not an empirical datum. But this formal self seems equally thin: it is the logical subject of experience, not a substantive identity. The problem of giving the self genuine content—of explaining what makes this particular person who they are—remains untouched by the Kantian framework.

The nineteenth and twentieth centuries witnessed a proliferation of approaches to selfhood. William James (1890) distinguished between the 'I' (the self as knower, the stream of consciousness) and the 'Me' (the self as known, the empirical self including bodily, social, and spiritual dimensions). This distinction has proven immensely generative in psychology, influencing self-concept research, identity development theory, and the psychology of self-esteem. Husserl (1913/1982) brought the tools of phenomenological analysis to bear on consciousness, describing the temporal flow of inner time-consciousness and the role of retention and protention in constituting a unified experiential field. Heidegger (1927/1962) radicalised this analysis by arguing that Dasein—human being-in-the-world—is irreducibly temporal, always already situated within a historical context and oriented toward its own death as the ultimate possibility.

2.2 Narrative Approaches to Identity

The turn to narrative as a framework for understanding identity gathered momentum in the 1980s and 1990s, drawing together insights from hermeneutic philosophy, literary theory, developmental psychology, and the emerging field of narrative medicine. Alasdair MacIntyre (1981) argued in After Virtue that the intelligibility of human action is only possible against the background of a narrative unity of a human life, embedded in the broader narratives of tradition and community. MacIntyre's account is strongly normative: to know who one is, is to know what story one is a part of and what roles and commitments that story entails.

Charles Taylor (1989), in Sources of the Self, developed a complementary argument, contending that our sense of identity is fundamentally linked to our moral orientation—our sense of what matters, what is worth doing, what constitutes a good life. For Taylor, the self is inescapably a being for whom things have significance, and this significance is always articulated in the medium of language and narrative. To know who one is, on Taylor's account, is to know where one stands in a space of moral and evaluative questions.

The philosopher who has done most to develop a rigorous account of narrative identity is Paul Ricoeur (1990/1992), whose monumental Oneself as Another provides the theoretical centrepiece of the present inquiry. Ricoeur's narrative identity theory will be examined in detail in Section 3. Among psychologists, Dan McAdams (1993, 2001) has been the most influential proponent of a narrative framework, arguing that identity in adulthood takes the form of an internalized, evolving personal myth—a life story that the individual constructs to give meaning, unity, and purpose to their life.

McAdams's research program has generated a rich body of empirical work examining the content, structure, and psychological consequences of personal narratives. Studies have found that the presence of redemption sequences in autobiographical narratives—stories in which negative events are followed by positive outcomes—is associated with greater generativity, higher well-being, and better psychological adjustment (McAdams et al., 2001; McAdams & Guo, 2015). Contamination sequences—stories in which positive events give way to negative outcomes—are associated with depression, lower life satisfaction, and poor mental health outcomes (McAdams, Reynolds, Lewis, Patten, & Bowman, 2001).

2.3 Psychological Research on the Self

Within psychology, the empirical investigation of the self has yielded a vast and sometimes bewildering array of constructs and findings. Markus and Wurf (1987) introduced the concept of the working self-concept—the dynamic, context-sensitive subset of self-knowledge that is active in a given situation—distinguishing it from the broader self-concept as a whole. Swann (1983) developed self-verification theory, proposing that individuals are motivated to seek confirmation of their existing self-views, even when those views are negative. Baumeister and Vohs (2003) reviewed evidence suggesting that self-regulation—the capacity of the self to override impulses and control behaviour—is a fundamental and finite resource.

Particularly relevant to the present inquiry are studies examining the relationship between narrative coherence and mental health. Baddeley and Singer (2007) found that individuals who construct more coherent, well-organized autobiographical narratives report higher levels of psychological well-being, greater sense of purpose, and lower levels of anxiety and depression. Similarly, work on expressive writing by Pennebaker and colleagues (1997; Pennebaker & Seagal, 1999) has shown that translating traumatic experiences into coherent narratives produces significant improvements in physical and psychological health outcomes—a finding with clear implications for clinical practice.

The psychology of meaning-making, developed most comprehensively by Crystal Park (2005, 2010), provides another important strand of research. Park distinguishes between global meaning—broadly held beliefs, goals, and subjective feelings of purpose and coherence—and

situational meaning—the appraised meaning of specific events. Meaning-making processes are triggered when events violate or challenge global meaning, and the task of meaning-making is to restore coherence between the event and the individual's broader understanding of themselves and the world. This framework has been applied extensively in research on coping with trauma, serious illness, and bereavement.

3. The Philosophical Framework: Ricoeur and Narrative Identity

3.1 Idem Identity and Ipse Identity

The most philosophically rigorous account of narrative identity is Paul Ricoeur's, developed across his three-volume work Time and Narrative (1983-1985/1984-1988) and brought to systematic expression in Oneself as Another (1990/1992). At the heart of Ricoeur's account is a distinction between two kinds of identity that are both captured by the word 'self' but that must be sharply distinguished if confusion is to be avoided: idem identity and ipse identity.

Idem identity—from the Latin for 'same'—refers to the kind of identity that consists in sameness or numerical identity over time. This is the kind of identity possessed by a physical object that persists through time: the ship of Theseus is idem-identical to itself as long as it remains one and the same ship. Applied to persons, idem identity would consist in the persistence of some core substance—a soul, a set of character traits, a biological organism—that remains the same across the changes and vicissitudes of a life. Ricoeur acknowledges that persons do have idem identity in a weak sense: there is a biological continuity, a continuing character, a name that links the person at sixty to the infant they once were.

But idem identity cannot be the whole story of personal identity, because it cannot account for what is most distinctive and most morally significant about persons: the capacity to make and keep promises, to take responsibility for one's past actions, to commit oneself to future courses of action. For these capacities require not sameness but faithfulness—the capacity to hold oneself to commitments across time despite change. This is what Ricoeur calls ipse identity—from the Latin for 'self' or 'oneself'—the kind of identity that consists in a self's relationship to itself, its capacity to answer the question 'Who?' as opposed to the question 'What?'.

The question 'What am I?' calls for an answer in terms of substance, character, or persistent properties—the province of idem identity. The question 'Who am I?' calls for an answer in terms of a narrative—a story in which the self figures as the protagonist, the author, and the subject of ongoing interpretation. Ricoeur's crucial insight is that ipse identity is irreducibly narrative: the self is constituted through the stories it tells and inhabits, stories that weave together the disparate events of a life into a meaningful whole.

3.2 The Narrative Mediation of Self-Understanding

Ricoeur draws on his extensive analysis of the structure of narrative, developed in Time and Narrative, to explain how stories constitute identity. He identifies three stages in what he calls the mimesis of action: pre-figuration ($mimesis_1$), configuration ($mimesis_2$), and re-figuration ($mimesis_3$). Pre-figuration refers to the pre-narrative understanding of action that is always already present in human life—our practical understanding of what actions are, what goals they serve, what temporal structures they presuppose. Configuration refers to the work of narrative itself: the plot that binds together heterogeneous events into a meaningful whole, transforming a mere succession of episodes into a unified story with a beginning, middle, and end. Re-figuration refers to the reading or reception of the narrative—the way in which the world of the text intersects with the world of the reader, potentially transforming the reader's self-understanding. Applied to personal identity, this schema suggests that self-understanding is always already mediated by the cultural narratives, genres, and story-models available in a given society (pre-figuration); that identity is constituted through the active work of narrative emplotment, which brings order and coherence to the raw material of lived experience (configuration); and that this identity is never fixed but always open to reinterpretation, revision, and transformation in light of new experiences and new narrative possibilities (re-figuration). The self, in Ricoeur's phrase, is an 'interpreted being'—a being whose mode of existence is inseparable from the ongoing interpretive activity of self-narration.

4. Psychological Dimensions of Narrative Selfhood

4.1 Autobiographical Memory and Temporal Self-Continuity

The philosophical account of narrative identity gains empirical support and psychological elaboration through research on autobiographical memory. Autobiographical memory refers to the memory system that encodes, stores, and retrieves information about the self—its past experiences, ongoing projects, and anticipated future (Conway & Pleydell-Pearce, 2000). Unlike semantic memory (memory for facts) or episodic memory (memory for events), autobiographical memory is structured around the self and characterised by a distinctive sense of personal ownership: these memories are experienced as memories of what happened to me.

Conway and Pleydell-Pearce's (2000) Self-Memory System (SMS) model proposes that autobiographical memory is organized hierarchically, from specific event memories at the bottom through general event memories and lifetime periods at an intermediate level to the working self-concept at the top. The working self-concept regulates memory retrieval, determining which memories are made accessible and how they are interpreted. This dynamic, top-down regulation ensures that the memories retrieved tend to be congruent with current self-goals and self-

perceptions—a mechanism that contributes to the overall coherence of the self-concept but that can also distort memory in ways that serve self-enhancing or self-protective functions.

Research on temporal self-continuity—the degree to which individuals perceive themselves as continuous with their past and future selves—has shown that this continuity is not simply given but is actively constructed and maintained through autobiographical memory and self-narrative. Individuals who report stronger connections to their future selves show greater willingness to delay gratification and engage in long-term planning (Hershfield, 2011). Conversely, disruptions to the sense of temporal self-continuity—as occur in certain dissociative disorders, traumatic amnesias, and severe depressive episodes—are associated with profound disturbances of identity and well-being.

4.2 Meaning-Making and Narrative Coherence

Park's (2005, 2010) meaning-making framework, introduced in Section 2, can be productively integrated with the narrative identity framework. If the self is constituted through narrative, then the disruption of narrative coherence—through traumatic events, radical life transitions, or persistent existential questioning—is not merely a cognitive inconvenience but a threat to the very integrity of the self. Meaning-making, from this perspective, is not simply a cognitive process of re-appraisal but an existential process of narrative reconstruction: the task of weaving a new, coherent self-narrative that can accommodate the disruptive event and restore a sense of identity, purpose, and direction.

This perspective is supported by research on post-traumatic growth—the positive psychological changes that can emerge from the struggle with highly challenging life crises (Tedeschi & Calhoun, 1996, 2004). Post-traumatic growth is not simply the absence of psychological distress but the presence of positive change in five domains: personal strength, new possibilities, relating to others, appreciation of life, and spiritual change. Crucially, post-traumatic growth is associated with the construction of a new, more complex and differentiated self-narrative that incorporates the traumatic experience as a formative element of identity—what Tedeschi and Calhoun call the 'narrative integration' of trauma.

Viktor Frankl's (1946/2006) logotherapy provides a clinical application of meaning-making that resonates deeply with the narrative identity framework. For Frankl, the primary human motivation is not pleasure or power but the will to meaning—the drive to find a sense of purpose, significance, and direction in one's life. Drawing on his own experiences as a survivor of Nazi concentration camps, Frankl argued that even in the most extreme and dehumanising conditions, human beings retain the freedom to choose their attitude toward suffering—and that the construction of a meaningful narrative, even in extremis, is a fundamental act of existential self-assertion.

5. Comparative Framework of Major Theoretical Approaches

The following table provides a comparative overview of the major theoretical frameworks discussed in this article, organized according to their disciplinary origin, conception of the self, primary method of inquiry, key claims regarding identity, and implications for psychological practice.

Table 1. Comparative Overview of Major Theoretical Frameworks on Self and Identity

Theorist / Framework	Discipline	Conception of Self	Key Claim on Identity	Clinical / Practical Implication
Hume (1739)	Analytic Philosophy	Bundle of perceptions; no substantive self	Identity is a useful fiction generated by associative memory	Challenges fixed self-narratives; supports flexible identity reconstruction
Ricoeur (1990)	Continental / Hermeneutics	Narrative self; idem vs. ipse identity	Identity constituted through narrative emplotment; open to re-figuration	Narrative therapy; re-authoring problem-saturated stories; ethical responsibility
McAdams (1993)	Narrative Psychology	Personal myth; life story as identity in adulthood	Redemptive narratives promote generativity and well-being; contamination narratives predict depression	Assessment of life story structure; promoting redemptive re-narration in therapy
Park (2005, 2010)	Health / Clinical Psychology	Meaning-making system; global vs. situational meaning	Identity coherence maintained by aligning event appraisals with global meaning structures	Meaning-centred psychotherapy; interventions targeting existential distress and grief
Frankl (1946)	Existential Psychology	Will to meaning; freedom of	Identity sustained through commitment to meaning even amid	Logotherapy; palliative care; existential

Theorist / Framework	Discipline	Conception of Self	Key Claim on Identity	Clinical / Practical Implication
		attitude in all circumstances	suffering and dehumanisation	interventions for trauma survivors
Conway & Pleydell-Pearce (2000)	Cognitive Psychology	Self-Memory System; working self-concept	Memory retrieval shaped by self-goals; autobiographical coherence supports identity stability	Memory reconsolidation in trauma therapy; addressing biased self-relevant memory retrieval

Note. All frameworks listed employ distinct epistemological commitments; their implications are not mutually exclusive.

6. Implications for Clinical Psychology and Therapeutic Practice

6.1 Narrative Therapy

The philosophical and psychological framework outlined above has direct and substantial implications for clinical psychology and psychotherapy. Perhaps the most direct application is narrative therapy, developed by Michael White and David Epston (1990) in the late 1980s. Drawing explicitly on the narrative metaphor, White and Epston proposed that psychological problems are not located within individuals but in the stories that people have internalised about themselves and their lives—what they called 'problem-saturated stories.' Therapeutic change is achieved not through the correction of cognitive distortions or the resolution of unconscious conflicts, but through the collaborative re-authoring of the client's life story.

Key techniques in narrative therapy include externalisation—separating the person from the problem by treating the problem as an external entity that affects the person, rather than as an intrinsic characteristic—and the identification of 'unique outcomes' or 'sparkling events': episodes in the client's story that contradict the dominant problem narrative and provide the seeds of an alternative, more empowering self-story. The therapist functions not as an expert who interprets the client's story but as a curious, collaborative witness who helps the client thicken, develop, and circulate alternative narratives of identity.

The narrative therapy framework has been applied to a wide range of clinical presentations, including depression, anxiety, trauma, eating disorders, and identity difficulties. Its emphasis on the client's agency, on cultural and social context, and on the political dimensions of identity has

made it particularly popular in post-colonial and minority contexts, where problem-saturated stories often reflect internalised cultural narratives of inferiority, pathology, or deviance.

6.2 Meaning-Centred Psychotherapy and Existential Applications

A second major clinical application of the narrative identity framework is meaning-centred psychotherapy (MCP), developed by William Breitbart and colleagues at Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center for use with patients facing advanced cancer and end-of-life concerns (Breitbart et al., 2010; Breitbart & Heller, 2003). Drawing explicitly on Frankl's logotherapy and on empirical research on meaning-making, MCP is a structured, brief intervention that aims to help patients maintain or enhance a sense of meaning, purpose, and spiritual well-being in the face of existential threat.

The central therapeutic device of MCP is the guided exploration of the patient's sources of meaning—what Frankl called attitudinal, experiential, and creative values—and the construction of a coherent life narrative that can accommodate the experience of serious illness without being overwhelmed by it. Patients are invited to explore their personal histories, their most significant relationships, their contributions to others, and their hopes and legacies—activities that facilitate the kind of narrative re-figuration that Ricoeur describes. Randomised controlled trials have demonstrated the efficacy of MCP in reducing existential distress, depression, and desire for hastened death, and in enhancing spiritual well-being and sense of meaning in patients with advanced cancer (Breitbart et al., 2010).

More broadly, existential psychotherapies—including the approaches developed by Irvin Yalom (1980), Emmy van Deurzen (1988), and Ernesto Spinelli (1994)—share with the narrative identity framework an emphasis on the human capacity for self-understanding, choice, and responsibility. Yalom's identification of the four ultimate concerns—death, freedom, isolation, and meaninglessness—maps directly onto the existential challenges that narrative identity must negotiate. The capacity to construct a coherent, meaningful life narrative is precisely the capacity to confront and integrate these concerns rather than to evade them.

7. Limitations and Directions for Future Research

The narrative identity framework, despite its considerable explanatory power, is not without limitations. Several of these deserve explicit acknowledgment.

First, there is the question of cultural universality. The emphasis on narrative as the primary medium of self-constitution reflects assumptions deeply rooted in Western, literate, and individualistic cultures. Cross-cultural research suggests that the salience and structure of life narratives vary considerably across cultures: collectivist cultures tend to produce more socially embedded and less individually achievement-oriented self-narratives, while oral cultures may

organise self-understanding through quite different forms (Wang & Conway, 2004). A fully adequate theory of narrative identity would need to account for this cultural variability without either collapsing into relativism or imposing a single cultural template.

Second, there is the question of non-narrative forms of self-experience. Strawson (2004) has argued influentially that not all persons experience their lives as narratives, and that for some individuals—whom he calls 'Episodics'—self-experience is characterised by discontinuity, presentness, and an absence of the longitudinal narrative engagement that theorists like McAdams and Ricoeur take to be definitive of mature selfhood. Whether Episodic self-experience represents a different, equally valid form of selfhood, or a developmental deficit, or simply a different personality style, remains contested.

Third, the relationship between narrative identity and neurological function deserves more systematic investigation. Research on patients with severe amnesia, such as the celebrated case of H.M. studied by Milner and Scoville (1957), raises profound questions about the relationship between memory, narrative, and the sense of personal identity. More recent work on patients with Alzheimer's disease—in whom autobiographical memory is progressively devastated—suggests that some sense of personal identity may be preserved even in the absence of a coherent autobiographical narrative, a finding that complicates the narrative identity framework's strong claim about the dependence of the self on narrative (Sabat & Harré, 1992).

Fourth, the normative dimensions of the narrative identity framework—its implicit ideal of narrative coherence, integration, and temporal continuity—risk pathologising forms of fragmented or discontinuous self-experience that may be culturally normative, philosophically defensible, or simply different. Future research might profitably investigate what kinds of narrative incoherence are genuinely associated with psychological distress and dysfunction, and what kinds might represent not deficits but forms of resistance, creativity, or difference.

Finally, the mechanisms by which narrative re-authoring produces therapeutic change remain incompletely understood. While there is growing evidence that narrative interventions are associated with improvements in mental health outcomes, the active ingredients of these interventions—whether it is the construction of coherence, the externalisation of the problem, the generation of new possibilities, the felt sense of agency, or some combination of these—remain to be disentangled. Future research combining narrative analysis, longitudinal design, and neuroscientific methods might be able to illuminate these mechanisms more clearly.

8. Conclusion

This article has argued that the most philosophically rigorous and psychologically productive account of personal identity is one that takes narrative as its central organising concept. Drawing on Ricoeur's distinction between idem and ipse identity, on McAdams's narrative identity theory,

on Park's meaning-making framework, and on a broad range of empirical research in cognitive and clinical psychology, it has shown that the self is neither a fixed metaphysical substance nor a mere functional convenience, but an ongoing interpretive achievement—a story that is always being written, always open to revision, and always embedded in broader social, cultural, and temporal contexts.

The implications of this view are both theoretical and practical. Theoretically, it requires a rapprochement between philosophical and psychological approaches to selfhood—an integration that this article has sought to model. Psychologically, it suggests that the capacity for narrative self-reflection, integration, and re-authoring is not merely a pleasant cognitive luxury but a fundamental dimension of psychological health, resilience, and flourishing. Clinically, it supports and enriches a range of therapeutic approaches—from narrative therapy to meaning-centred psychotherapy to existential approaches—that take the construction and reconstruction of self-narrative as the central work of psychological healing.

Socrates' injunction to 'know thyself,' reinterpreted through the lens of narrative identity, emerges as a call not to discover a pre-given essence that lies waiting within us, but to engage in the perpetual, creative, and ethically demanding activity of self-narration. To know oneself, in this sense, is not to arrive at a fixed conclusion but to remain engaged in the open-ended process of inquiry—to be, in Ricoeur's phrase, 'oneself as another,' always both the author and the protagonist of a story whose ending remains to be written.

References

Aristotle. (1998). Nicomachean ethics (D. Ross, Trans.; revised ed.). Oxford University Press. (Original work composed c. 350 BCE)

Baddeley, J. L., & Singer, J. A. (2007). Charting the life story's path: Narrative identity across the life span. In D. J. Clandinin (Ed.), Handbook of narrative inquiry: Mapping a methodology (pp. 177-202). Sage.

Baumeister, R. F., & Vohs, K. D. (2003). Self-regulation and the executive function of the self. In M. R. Leary & J. P. Tangney (Eds.), Handbook of self and identity (pp. 197-217). Guilford Press.

Breitbart, W., & Heller, K. S. (2003). Reframing hope: Meaning-centred care for patients near the end of life. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 6(6), 979-988. https://doi.org/10.1089/109662103322654764

Breitbart, W., Rosenfeld, B., Gibson, C., Pessin, H., Poppito, S., Nelson, C., Tomarken, A., Timm, A. K., Berg, A., Jacobson, C., Sorger, B., Abbey, J., & Olden, M. (2010). Meaning-centred group psychotherapy for patients with advanced cancer: A pilot randomised controlled trial. Psycho-Oncology, 19(1), 21-28. https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1556

Conway, M. A., & Pleydell-Pearce, C. W. (2000). The construction of autobiographical memories in the self-memory system. Psychological Review, 107(2), 261-288. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.107.2.261

Descartes, R. (1996). Meditations on first philosophy (J. Cottingham, Trans.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1641)

Frankl, V. E. (2006). Man's search for meaning. Beacon Press. (Original work published 1946)

Heidegger, M. (1962). Being and time (J. Macquarrie & E. Robinson, Trans.). Harper & Row. (Original work published 1927)

Hershfield, H. E. (2011). Future self-continuity: How conceptions of the future self transform intertemporal choice. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1235(1), 30-43. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06201.x

Hume, D. (2000). A treatise of human nature (D. F. Norton & M. J. Norton, Eds.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published 1739)

Husserl, E. (1982). Ideas pertaining to a pure phenomenology and to a phenomenological philosophy (F. Kersten, Trans.). Martinus Nijhoff. (Original work published 1913)

James, W. (1890). The principles of psychology (Vol. 1). Henry Holt and Company.

Kant, I. (1998). Critique of pure reason (P. Guyer & A. W. Wood, Trans. & Eds.). Cambridge University Press. (Original work published 1781)

MacIntyre, A. (1981). After virtue: A study in moral theory. University of Notre Dame Press.

Markus, H., & Wurf, E. (1987). The dynamic self-concept: A social psychological perspective. Annual Review of Psychology, 38(1), 299-337. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.38.020187.001503

McAdams, D. P. (1993). The stories we live by: Personal myths and the making of the self. William Morrow.

McAdams, D. P. (2001). The psychology of life stories. Review of General Psychology, 5(2), 100-122. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.5.2.100

McAdams, D. P., & Guo, J. (2015). Narrating the generative life. Psychological Science, 26(4), 475-483. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614568318

McAdams, D. P., Reynolds, J., Lewis, M., Patten, A. H., & Bowman, P. J. (2001). When bad things turn good and good things turn bad: Sequences of redemption and contamination in life narrative and their relation to psychosocial adaptation in midlife adults and in students. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 27(4), 474-485. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167201274008

Milner, B., & Scoville, W. B. (1957). Loss of recent memory after bilateral hippocampal lesions. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 20(4), 11-21. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.20.1.11

Park, C. L. (2005). Religion as a meaning-making framework in coping with life stress. Journal of Social Issues, 61(4), 707-729. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2005.00428.x

Park, C. L. (2010). Making sense of the meaning literature: An integrative review of meaning making and its effects on adjustment to stressful life events. Psychological Bulletin, 136(2), 257-301. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018301

Pennebaker, J. W., Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K., & Glaser, R. (1997). Disclosure of traumas and immune function: Health implications for psychotherapy. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 56(2), 239-245. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-006X.56.2.239

Pennebaker, J. W., & Seagal, J. D. (1999). Forming a story: The health benefits of narrative. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 55(10), 1243-1254. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4679(199910)55:10<1243::AID-JCLP6>3.0.CO;2-N

Plato. (2003). The republic (D. Lee, Trans.; 2nd ed.). Penguin. (Original work composed c. 380 BCE)

Ricoeur, P. (1984-1988). Time and narrative (K. McLaughlin & D. Pellauer, Trans., Vols. 1-3). University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1983-1985)

Ricoeur, P. (1992). Oneself as another (K. Blamey, Trans.). University of Chicago Press. (Original work published 1990)

Sabat, S. R., & Harré, R. (1992). The construction and deconstruction of self in Alzheimer's disease. Ageing and Society, 12(4), 443-461. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0144686X00005262

Spinelli, E. (1994). Demystifying therapy. Constable.

Strawson, G. (2004). Against narrativity. Ratio, 17(4), 428-452. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9329.2004.00264.x

Swann, W. B., Jr. (1983). Self-verification: Bringing social reality into harmony with the self. In J. Suls & A. G. Greenwald (Eds.), Psychological perspectives on the self (Vol. 2, pp. 33-66). Erlbaum.

Taylor, C. (1989). Sources of the self: The making of the modern identity. Harvard University Press.

Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (1996). The posttraumatic growth inventory: Measuring the positive legacy of trauma. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 9(3), 455-471. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.2490090305

Tedeschi, R. G., & Calhoun, L. G. (2004). Posttraumatic growth: Conceptual foundations and empirical evidence. Psychological Inquiry, 15(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1501_01

van Deurzen, E. (1988). Existential counselling in practice. Sage.

Wang, Q., & Conway, M. A. (2004). The stories we keep: Autobiographical memory in American and Chinese middle-aged adults. Journal of Personality, 72(5), 911-938. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3506.2004.00285.x

White, M., & Epston, D. (1990). Narrative means to therapeutic ends. Norton.

Yalom, I. D. (1980). Existential psychotherapy. Basic Books.